

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 25	APR 2005
WIPO	PCT

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/II		of Transmittal of International amination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
SNI-003PC	International filing date (day/mo	mtlihiear)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
International application No.	International liting date (adjunct	""" year,	,	
PCT/US03/18202	09 June 2003 (09.06.2003)		10 June 2002 (10.06.2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and ifc			
IPC(7): A61K 31/4172; C07D 207/27 as	nd US CI.: 514/424; 548/551			
Applicant				
APPLIED RESEARCH SYSTEMS ARS	HOLDING N.V.			
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of	2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.			
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
These annexes consist of				
3. This report contains indic	ations relating to the following	g items:		
1 Basis of the re	port			
II Priority			4 4 10	
III Non-establishm	III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	ack of unity of invention			
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Certain documents cited				
	cts in the international application			
VIII Certain observ	vations on the international app	olication		
		ate of completion	of this report	
Date of submission of the demand	\ Da	ate of completion	or and report	
02 December 2003 (02.12.2003)		April 2005 (07.04	.2005)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US		ithorized officer	ا میدی	
Mail Stop PCT, Atm: IPEA/ US Commissioner for Patenta		aura L. Stockton, I	Ph.D. F. Roberts for	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		elephone No. (571)	272-1600	
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	110	Acpirone 140. (571	,	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)





### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International	application No.		
PCT/US03/18202			

T	Rasi	of the report
		regard to the elements of the international application:*
1.	MITT	the international application as originally filed.
	$\bowtie$	
		the description:
		pages <u>I-119</u> as originally filed pages <u>NONE</u> , filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	<u></u>	pages NOVE , Mac villa and a second
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:
		pages 120-133 as originally filed
		pages 120-133 as displainly finder pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of
		pages NONE , inca wan die terre
	$\boxtimes$	the drawings:
		pages 1-3 as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
		the sequence listing part of the description:
	-	nages NONE as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		filed with the letter of
2		pages None , fined with the state of the page of the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
	L	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules
2	3. Wi	th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the reasonable preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
	Г	contained in the international application in printed form.
١	Ē	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
	Ĺ	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listin has been furnished.
	4. [	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages NONE
١		the claims, Nos. NONE
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE
	5.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
		beyond the disclosive been framished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to the contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). Proof of the contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). Preplacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.
-1	** Ar	replacement sheet containing such amenaments must be rejerred to talder them.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box I) (July 1998)



International application No.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/US03/18202

	the moralty inventive cten and industrial applicability
III. No	n-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
1. The to be	question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or industrially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of:
	the entire international application,
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 4.9.17-50 and 55-60
becau	se:
	the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require international preliminary examination (specify):
	·
$\boxtimes$	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 4,9,17-50 and 55-60 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
These	e claims are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
!	
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.
2. A	meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid quence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:
	the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.
	the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.
	STEEDER (400 (Per III) (Inty 1998)

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box III) (July 1998)



#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US03/18202

v.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) citations and explanations supporting such	with regard to novelty statement	, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
1.	STATEMENT			
Name Inc. (AD)	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-	16 and 51-54 YE	S
	Novelly (14)	Claims NONE	NO	)
	The Star (TS)	Claims 51-54	YE	:S
Inventive Step	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10	-16NO	)
	Hiddsdiat rippitonomy ()	Claims <u>1-3, 5-8, 10</u>	-16 and 51-54 YE	ES.
		Claims NONE		)
2. C	CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS laims 1-3, 5-8 and 10-16 lack an inventive step under	er PCT Article 33(3) as be	ing obvious over Cameron et al. {U.S. Pat.	

2002/0065308}.

Applicants claim 5-oxo-pyrrolidine compounds. Cameron et al. teach 5-oxo-pyrrolidine compounds which are structurally similar to the instant claimed compounds. See in Cameron et al., for example, Formula I wherein X is CH<sub>2</sub>, Z is phenyl, Q is carboxyl and R<sup>2</sup> is Ar (page 2, second column; and especially Example 2E on page 30). The difference between the compounds of the prior art and the compounds instantly claimed is that of homology (e.g., ethylene linkage attached to the 1-position of the pyrrolidine ring versus a propylene linkage in Cameron et al.). To those skilled in chemical art, one homologue is not such an advance over adjacent member of series as requires invention because chemists knowing properties of one member of series would in general know what to expect in adjacent members. The instant claimed compounds would have been obvious because one skilled in the art would have been motivated to prepare homologs of the compounds taught in the reference with the expectation of obtaining compounds which could be used in perfume compositions. Therefore, the instant claimed compounds would have been suggested to one skilled in the art.

Claims 51-54 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the invention find in these claims.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-16 and 51-54 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)